Table III. The measured slopes of the stress dependences of the second-order elastic constants for three independent sets of measured and the third-order elastic constants determined from them. The third-order elastic constants listed as "best" values were relations in order in Tables I-III of Ref. 26.

	Relation No.	Sample 2	Sample 1 before irradiation	Sample 1 after irradiation	"Best" values
	1,	+7.59±0.13	a	(7.5621±0.13)	+7.5621
	2	$+0.221\pm0.008$	a	(0.2235 ± 0.006)	+0.2235
	3	$+6.90\pm0.12$	$+6.95\pm0.32$	(6.9456 ± 0.12)	+6.9456
	4	$+0.844\pm0.016$	$+0.837\pm0.004$	(0.8400 ± 0.004)	+0.8400
	5	$+0.232\pm0.008$	$+0.222\pm0.006$	(0.2235 ± 0.006)	+0.2235
	6	a	a	a	+0.1138
	7	a	a	a	+1.6082
	8	a	a	a	-0.4432
	9	small pos.	$+0.85\pm0.4$	not meas.	+0.8891
	10	$+0.856\pm0.013$	$+0.774\pm0.044$	$+0.767\pm0.016$	+0.8328
*	11	-0.446 ± 0.042	-0.475 ± 0.050 `	-0.442 ± 0.070	-0.4432
	12	small neg.	a	a	+0.1138
	13	-2.34 ± 0.032	a	a	-2.3222
	14	$+3.83\pm0.09$	a	a	+3.4871
	15	small pos.	$+1.2 \pm 0.7$	not meas.	+1.4651
	16	-0.49 ± 0.06	-0.54 ± 0.06	-0.502 ± 0.032	-0.4929
	17	$+3.20\pm0.07$	$+3.14\pm0.05$	$+3.264\pm0.016$	+3.2379
	C_{111}	-25.630 ± 0.33 b	-25.683 ± 0.66	-25.736 ± 0.26	-25.64 ± 0.25
	C_{112}	-11.387 ± 0.27	-11.389 ± 0.60	-11.355 ± 0.24	-11.40 ± 0.25
	C_{123}	-4.622 ± 0.33	-4.746 ± 0.65	-4.769 ± 0.26	-4.67 ± 0.25
	C_{144}	-3.444 ± 0.11	-3.500 ± 0.13	-3.384 ± 0.17	-3.43 ± 0.10
	C_{166}	-1.677 ± 0.06	-1.639 ± 0.065	-1.701 ± 0.09	-1.677 ± 0.05
	C_{456}	$+1.360\pm0.16$	$+1.302\pm0.044$	$+1.387\pm0.031$	$+1.366\pm0.05$
	$C_{111} + 2C_{112}$	-48.404 ± 0.66	-48.460 ± 1.68	not meas.	-48.45 ± 0.66
	$C_{144} + 2C_{166}$	-6.799 ± 0.040	-6.779 ± 0.030	not meas.	-6.786 ± 0.030
	$C_{111}-C_{123}$	-21.008 ± 0.16	-20.937 ± 0.040	not meas.	-20.967 ± 0.040

^a These values could not be determined because of the crystallographic orientations of the samples.

b The units for all the third-order elastic constants are 1012 dyn/cm².

for the values of the three combinations of TOEC $(C_{111}+2C_{112})$, $(C_{144}+2C_{166})$, and $(C_{111}-C_{123})$, which were considered to be exact within the limits of their uncertainties based entirely on the uncertainties in the values of m_n estimated previously. Of the remaining uniaxial stress data, the data obtained using longitudinal ultrasonic waves were ignored because of their very large uncertainties, and data for relations (6), (7), and (8) could not be obtained because the samples

were not of the right crystallographic orientation. The remaining relations, given in Table I, were then combined with the three relations obtained from the hydrostatic pressure data in such a manner as to obtain the best agreement with all the data taking into account their relative uncertainties.

Th

avî kavî

> ma. inde

psi, at a slot the

> wer tair assi seco

val

Wit

Sar

fre

di

The data for the polycrystalline samples were analyzed in a similar manner, again relying heavily on the hydrostatic pressure measurements.

Table IV. The adiabatic second-order elastic constants of two polycrystalline columbium samples at 298°K. A density of 8.578 g/cm² was used. The Voigt-Reuss-Hill average of the single-crystal elastic constants is shown for comparison.

	,	Sample A elongated 30×75 μ grains	Sample B equiaxed 10μ grains	Calculated by VRH approx. from single xtal data
$C_{11} = \lambda + 2 \mu$	$10^{12}\mathrm{dyn/cm^2}$	2.179±0.004	2.203±0.004	2.2113
$C_{12} = \lambda$	1012 dyn/cm2	1.433 ± 0.006	1.453 ± 0.006	1.4597
$C_{44} = \mu$	1012 dyn/cm2	0.373 ± 0.001	0.375 ± 0.001	0.3758
E	1012 dyn/cm2	1.041	1.048	1.0505
G	1012 dyn/cm2	0.373	0.375	0.3758
K	1012 dyn/cm2	1.682	1.703	1.7102
σ – Poisson's	s ratio	0.397	0.397	. 0.3977